



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

58
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,484	11/30/2001	David Carroll Challenger	RPS9 2001 0152	6380

47052 7590 08/10/2005

SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP
PO BOX 51418
PALO ALTO, CA 94303

EXAMINER

LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/998,484

Applicant(s)

CHALLENGER ET AL.

Examiner

Christian La Forgia

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/30/01
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-41 have been presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 30 November 2001 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 21, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,898,577 to Johnson, hereinafter Johnson.

5. As per claims 1 and 21, Johnson teaches a method for providing security in password-based access to computer networks, the network including a server and a remote user, comprising the steps of:

(a) signing a phrase by a security chip of the server using an encryption key (Figure 1A [block S13A], column 6, lines 37-58, i.e. encrypting the customer's password);

(b) associating the signed phrase with the remote user (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65, i.e. encrypted password is associated with customer's ID);

(c) signing the phrase with an encryption key obtained by the security chip when a request for access to the computer network is received from the remote user (Figure 1B [block S13B], column 7, lines 29-34);

(d) comparing the phrase signed with the obtained encryption key with the signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S14B, S15B], column 7, lines 34-35, i.e. the two encrypted passwords are compared); and

(e) granting access to the remote user if the phrase signed with the obtained encryption key is the same as the stored signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [block S17B], column 7, lines 35-65). Wherein the security chip is the processor of the bank server, the processor processes all processes executed on a system.

6. As per claim 41, Johnson teaches a system, comprising:

an encryption key associated with a remote user (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65);

a table, wherein the table stores a signed phrase associated with the remote user, wherein the stored signed phrase is signed with the encryption key associated with the remote user (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 33); and

a security chip, wherein when the security chip receives a request for access to the system from the remote user, the security chip signs a phrase with the encryption key associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S12B, S13B], column 7, lines 5-65),

wherein the system compares the phrase signed with the encryption key associated with the remote user with the stored signed phrase associated with the remote user, wherein the

Art Unit: 2131

system grants access to requesting remote user if the phrase signed with the encryption key associated with the remote user is the same as the stored signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S14B, S15B, S17B], column 7, lines 34-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 2-20 and 22-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of U.S. 6,725,382 to Thompson et al., hereinafter Thompson.

9. Regarding claims 2 and 22, Johnson does not disclose (a1) creating a public key and a private key pair for the remote user by the security chip; and (a2) signing the phrase with the private key of the remote user by the security chip.

10. Thompson teaches wherein signing step (a) comprises:

(a1) creating a public key and a private key pair for the remote user by the security chip (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26); and

(a2) signing the phrase with the private key of the remote user by the security chip (claim 10).

11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create a key pair for a remote user, and signing the phrase with the private key of the user, since Thompson states at column 2, lines 4-62 that such a modification would add a low-cost security feature to portable devices.

12. With regards to claims 3 and 23, Johnson discloses wherein the associating step (b) further comprises:

(b1) storing the signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1A [block S14A], column 3, lines 53-54, column 6, line 59 to column 7, line 4, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 33).

13. Concerning claims 4 and 24, Johnson discloses wherein the signing (c) comprises:

(c1) receiving a password from the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S12B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(c2) sending the received password and the phrase to the security chip (Figure 1B [blocks S12B, S13B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(c4) signing the phrase with the loaded private key by the security chip (Figure 1B [block S13B], column 7, lines 29-34).

14. Thompson teaches (c3) loading the private key of the remote user (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10).

15. Concerning claims 5 and 25, Johnson teaches wherein the comparing step (d) comprises:

(dl) comparing the phrase signed with the loaded private key with the stored signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S14B, S15B], column 7, lines 34-35, i.e. the two encrypted passwords are compared).

Art Unit: 2131

16. Concerning claims 6 and 26, Johnson teaches wherein the granting step (e) comprises:

(e1) granting access to the remote user if the phrase signed with the loaded private key is the same as the stored signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [block S17B], column 7, lines 35-65).

17. Regarding claims 7 and 27, Johnson discloses wherein the signing step (a) comprises:

(a1) signing a password for the remote user by the security chip (Figure 1B [block S13B], column 7, lines 29-34).

18. Johnson does not teach signing the password with a private key of the security chip.

19. Thompson discloses signing the password with a private key of the security chip (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10).

20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create a key pair for a remote user, and signing the phrase with the private key of the user, since Thompson states at column 2, lines 4-62 that such a modification would add a low-cost security feature to portable devices.

21. With regards to claims 8 and 28, Johnson teaches wherein the associating step (b) comprises:

(b1) associating the signed password with the remote user (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65); and

(b2) storing the signed password associated with the remote user (Figure 1A [block S14A], column 3, lines 53-54, column 6, line 59 to column 7, line 4, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 33).

22. Concerning claims 9 and 29, Johnson teaches wherein the signing step (c) comprises:

(c1) receiving the password from the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S12B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(c2) sending the received password to the security chip (Figure 1B [blocks S12B, S13B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(c4) signing the received password with the loaded private key by the security chip (Figure 1B [block S13B], column 7, lines 29-34).

23. Thompson teaches (c3) loading the private key of the remote user (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10).

24. Concerning claims 10 and 30, Johnson discloses wherein the comparing step (d) comprises:

(d1) comparing the signed received password with the stored signed password (Figure 1B [blocks S14B, S15B], column 7, lines 34-35, i.e. the two encrypted passwords are compared).

25. Concerning claims 11 and 31, Johnson teaches wherein the granting step (e) comprises:

(e1) granting access to the remote user if the signed received password is the same as the stored signed password (Figure 1B [block S17B], column 7, lines 35-65).

26. Regarding claims 12 and 32, Johnson discloses wherein the signing step (a) comprises:

(a1) creating a blob for the remote user, wherein the blob comprises a password for the remote user signed with a key of the security chip (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 32).

27. Johnson does not teach signing the password with a private key.

28. Thompson teaches signing using a private key (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10).

29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create a key pair for a remote user, and signing the phrase with the private key of the user, since Thompson states at column 2, lines 4-62 that such a modification would add a low-cost security feature to portable devices.

30. With regards to claims 13 and 33, Johnson discloses wherein the associating step (b) comprises:

(b1) associating the blob with the remote user (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65); and

(b2) storing the blob associated with the remote user (Figure 1A [block S14A], column 3, lines 53-54, column 6, line 59 to column 7, line 4, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 33).

31. Concerning claims 14 and 34, Johnson teaches wherein the signing step (c) comprises:

(c1) receiving the password from the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S12B], column 7, lines 5-65););

(c2) sending the received password and the blob associated with the remote user to the security chip (Figure 1B [block S13B], column 7, lines 29-34).

32. Thompson discloses (c3) decrypting the blob associated with the remote user using a public key of the security chip to obtain the stored password (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10).

33. Concerning claims 15 and 35, Johnson teaches wherein the comparing step (d) comprises:

(d1) comparing the stored password with the received password (Figure 1B [blocks S14B, S15B], column 7, lines 34-35).

34. Concerning claims 16 and 36, Johnson discloses wherein the granting step (e) comprises:

(e1) granting access to the remote user if the stored password is the same as the received password (Figure 1B [block S17B], column 7, lines 35-65).

35. Regarding claims 17 and 37, Johnson teaches (f) denying access to the remote user if the phrase signed with the obtained encryption key is the same as the stored signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S16B], column 7, lines 5-65).

Art Unit: 2131

36. As per claims 18 and 38, Johnson discloses a method for providing security in password-based access to computer networks, the network including a server and a remote user, comprising the steps of:

(b) signing a phrase with the key of the remote user by the security chip (Figure 1A [block S13A], column 6, lines 37-58);

(c) associating the signed phrase with the remote user (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65);

(c) storing the signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1A [block S14A], column 3, lines 53-54, column 6, line 59 to column 7, line 4, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 33);

(d) receiving a password from the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S12B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(e) sending the received password and the phrase to the security chip (Figure 1B [blocks S12B, S13B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(g) signing the phrase with the loaded key by the security chip (Figure 1B [block S13B], column 7, lines 29-34);

(h) comparing the phrase signed with the loaded private key with the stored signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S14B, S15B], column 7, lines 34-35); and

(i) granting access to the remote user if the phrase signed with the loaded key is the same as the stored signed phrase associated with the remote user (Figure 1B [block S17B], column 7, lines 35-65).

37. Johnson does not teach (a) creating a public key and a private key for the remote user by a security chip of the server; and (f) loading the private key of the remote user.

38. Thompson discloses (a) creating a public key and a private key for the remote user by a security chip of the server (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26); (f) loading the private key of the remote user (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10).

39. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create a key pair for a remote user, and signing the phrase with the private key of the user, since Thompson states at column 2, lines 4-62 that such a modification would add a low-cost security feature to portable devices.

40. As per claims 19 and 39, Johnson teaches a method for providing security in password-based access to computer networks, the network including a server and a remote user, comprising the steps of:

(a) signing a password for the remote user by a security chip of the server with a key of the security chip (Figure 1A [block S13A], column 6, lines 37-58);

(b) associating the signed password with the remote user (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65);

(c) storing the signed password associated with the remote user (Figure 1A [block S14A], column 3, lines 53-54, column 6, line 59 to column 7, line 4, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 33);

(d) receiving the password from the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S12B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(e) sending the received password to the security chip (Figure 1B [blocks S12B, S13B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(g) signing the received password with the loaded key by the security chip (Figure 1B [block S13B], column 7, lines 29-34);

(h) comparing the signed received password with the stored signed password (Figure 1B [blocks S14B, S15B], column 7, lines 34-35); and

(i) granting access to the remote user if the signed received password is the same as the stored signed password (Figure 1B [block S17B], column 7, lines 35-65).

41. Johnson does not teach the use of private keys or loading the private key of the security chip.

42. Thompson discloses the use of private keys and loading the private key of the remote user (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10).

43. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create a key pair for a remote user, and signing the phrase with the private key of the user, since Thompson states at column 2, lines 4-62 that such a modification would add a low-cost security feature to portable devices.

44. As per claims 20 and 40, Johnson discloses a method for providing security in password-based access to computer networks, the network including a server and a remote user, comprising the steps of:

(a) creating a blob for the remote user, wherein the blob comprises a password for the remote user signed with a key of a security chip of the server (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 32);

(b) associating the blob with the remote user (Figure 1A [blocks S13A, S14A], column 6, line 37 to column 7, line 65);

(c) storing the blob associated with the remote user (Figure 1A [block S14A], column 3, lines 53-54, column 6, line 59 to column 7, line 4, column 8, line 43 to column 9, line 33);

(d) receiving the password from the remote user (Figure 1B [blocks S12B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(e) sending the received password and the blob associated with the remote user to the security chip (Figure 1B [blocks S12B, S13B], column 7, lines 5-65);

(g) comparing the stored password with the received password (Figure 1B [blocks S14B, S15B], column 7, lines 34-35); and

(h) granting access to the remote user if the stored password is the same as the received password (Figure 1B [block S17B], column 7, lines 35-65).

45. Johnson does not teach using a private key to sign the password, and decrypting the blob associated with the remote user using a public key of the security chip to obtain the stored password.

46. Thompson teaches signing using a private key (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10); and

(f) decrypting the blob associated with the remote user using a public key of the security chip to obtain the stored password (Figure 5 [block 466], column 6, lines 24-26, claim 10).

47. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create a key pair for a remote user, and signing the phrase with the private key of the user, since Thompson states at column 2, lines 4-62 that such a modification would add a low-cost security feature to portable devices.

Claim Objections

48. Claims 6 and 26 are objected to because of the following informalities: The preamble recites "step (h)," where there is no previously mentioned step (h). For the sake of examination, the Examiner will interpret Claim 6 as referring to step (e).

49. Claims 18 and 38 are objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation recites two "step (g)." For the sake of examination, the Examiner will interpret the second step (g) as step (i).

50. Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

51. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

52. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to password authentication, such as:

United States Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0074566 to Hypponen, which is cited to show encrypting data using a cryptographic key derivable from or accessed using a passphrase.

United States Patent No. 5,774,650 to Chapman et al., which is cited to show controlling the access of a plurality of users to a computer system.

United States Patent No. 5,884,312 to Dustan et al., which is cited to show securely accessing information from disparate data sources through a network.

United States Patent No. 6,064,736 to Davis et al., which is cited to show an encrypted session for additional password verification.

United States Patent No. 5,719,941 to Swift et al., which is cited to show changing passwords on a remote computer.

53. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

54. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

55. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian LaForgia
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2131
clf

